




-

Figure 1. Rotational axes of **1**.Figure 2. Absorption spectral change of **1** (5.3×10^{-6} M) in toluene at 25 °C: $[C_{60}] = 0 - 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ M, 1 mm cell. Inset: plot of ΔA_{428} (●: **1**, ■: **2**) versus $[C_{60}]$. To normalize the porphyrin concentration, we set **[2]** to $3 \times [1]$.Figure 3. Plots of chemical-shift change versus $[C_{60}]/[1]$ where **[1]** was kept constant (0.5 mM): 400 MHz, $[D_8]$ toluene, 25 °C.

formed with a 1:3 **1**: C_{60} stoichiometry. These trends in the C_{60} -induced chemical-shift changes in the 1H NMR spectra are consistent with those of recent reports on porphyrin– C_{60} complexation systems.^[5, 6]

Very interestingly, a plot of the absorbance change at 428 nm (ΔA_{428}) versus $[C_{60}]$ featured a sigmoidal curve, which indicates that the binding of C_{60} to **1** occurs according to a well-defined cooperative phenomenon (inset of Figure 2).^[8a, b] This guest-binding profile was analyzed with the Hill equation: $\log(y/(1-y)) = n \log[\text{guest}] + \log K$, where K and n are the association constant and Hill coefficient, respectively, and $y = K/([C_{60}]^{-n} + K)$.^[11] From the slope and the intercept of the linear plot, we obtained $K = 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ (M}^{-3}\text{)}$ for 1:3 **1**– C_{60} complex (correlation coefficient 0.995) and $n = 2.8$. The binding of the first C_{60} suppresses the rotation of two complexing porphyrin rings and consequently suppresses the rotation of two other neighboring porphyrin rings in same axes. The binding of the second C_{60} suppresses the rotation of residual two free porphyrin rings (Figure 1). Therefore, as the number of the bound guests increases, the loss of Gibbs free energy decreases. In fact, the results obtained by the analysis based on the Hill equation show that the K value is sufficiently large and the Hill coefficient is close to 3.0, which allows a highly cooperative binding of three C_{60} guests.

Compound **1** has two different kinds of porphyrin tweezers: one composed of two porphyrins in the same branch (cavity A in compound **1**) and the other composed of two porphyrins in the two adjacent branches (cavity B in compound **1**). To evaluate which cavity is acting as a real binding site in solution, we measured the UV/Vis absorption spectroscopy of model compound **2** bearing only cavity A. We confirmed that addition of C_{60} scarcely induces a spectral change in model compound **2**. From a plot of ΔA_{428} versus $[C_{60}]$, we estimated the K value to be lower than 10 M^{-1} . This result is consistent with examination of the computational models, which show that cavity A (distance between parallel-oriented porphyrins, 2.29 nm) is too large to sandwich C_{60} . Furthermore, if C_{60} were bound to cavity A, compound **1** should not exhibit the allosteric effect because the three phenylacetylene axes can rotate quite independently. On the other hand, the distance between parallel-oriented porphyrins in cavity B is

